Random Forest

Applied Multivariate Statistics – Spring 2013



Overview

- Intuition of Random Forest
- The Random Forest Algorithm
- De-correlation gives better accuracy





The Random Forest Algorithm

1. For b = 1 to B:

- (a) Draw a bootstrap sample \mathbf{Z}^* of size N from the training data.
- (b) Grow a random-forest tree T_b to the bootstrapped data, by recursively repeating the following steps for each terminal node of the tree, until the minimum node size n_{min} is reached.
 - i. Select m variables at random from the p variables.
 - ii. Pick the best variable/split-point among the m.
 - iii. Split the node into two daughter nodes.
- 2. Output the ensemble of trees $\{T_b\}_1^B$.

To make a prediction at a new point x:

Regression: $\hat{f}_{rf}^B(x) = \frac{1}{B} \sum_{b=1}^{B} T_b(x).$

Classification: Let $\hat{C}_b(x)$ be the class prediction of the *b*th random-forest tree. Then $\hat{C}^B_{\rm rf}(x) = majority \ vote \ \{\hat{C}_b(x)\}_1^B$.

Differences to standard tree

- Train each tree on bootstrap resample of data
 (Bootstrap resample of data set with N samples:
 Make new data set by drawing with replacement N samples; i.e., some samples will probably occur multiple times in new data set)
- For each split, consider only m randomly selected variables
- Don't prune
- Fit B trees in such a way and use average or majority voting to aggregate results

Why Random Forest works 1/2

- Mean Squared Error = Variance + Bias²
- If trees are sufficiently deep, they have very small bias
- How could we improve the variance over that of a single tree?

Why Random Forest works 2/2

$$Var\left(\frac{1}{B}\sum_{i=1}^{B}T_{i}(x)\right) = \frac{1}{B^{2}}\sum_{i=1}^{B}\sum_{j=1}^{B}Cov(T_{i}(x), T_{j}(x))$$

$$= \frac{1}{B^{2}}\sum_{i=1}^{B}\left(\sum_{\substack{j\neq i \\ j\neq i}}^{B}Cov(T_{i}(x), T_{j}(x)) + Var(T_{i}(x))\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{B^{2}}\sum_{i=1}^{B}\left((B-1)\sigma^{2} \cdot \rho + \sigma^{2}\right)$$

$$= \frac{B(B-1)\rho\sigma^{2} + B\sigma^{2}}{B^{2}}$$

$$= \frac{B(B-1)\rho\sigma^{2}}{B} + \frac{\sigma^{2}}{B}$$

$$= \rho\sigma^{2} - \frac{\rho\sigma^{2}}{B} + \frac{\sigma^{2}}{B}$$

$$= \rho\sigma^{2} + \frac{\sigma^{2}1-\rho}{B}$$
Decreases, if number of trees B increases (irrespective of ρ)

Estimating generalization error: Out-of bag (OOB) error

 Similar to leave-one-out cross-validation, but almost without any additional computational burden



Variable Importance for variable i



Trees

VS.

- Trees yield insight into decision rules
- + Rather fast
- + Easy to tune parameters
- Prediction of trees tend to have a high variance

Random Forest

- + RF has smaller prediction variance and therefore usually a better general performance
- + Easy to tune parameters
- Rather slow
- "Black Box": Rather hard to get insights into decision rules

Comparing runtime (just for illustration)

- Up to "thousands" of variables
- Problematic if there are categorical predictors with many levels (max: 32 levels)



9 continuous predictors

Number of samples

- + Can model nonlinear class boundaries
- + OOB error "for free" (no CV needed)
- + Works on continuous and categorical responses (regression / classification)
- + Gives variable importance
- + Very good performance
- "Black box"
- Slow



- + Discriminants for visualizing group separation
- + Can read off decision rule

LDA

- Can model only linear class boundaries
- Mediocre performance
- No variable selection
- Only on categorical response
- Needs CV for estimating prediction error



Concepts to know

- Idea of Random Forest and how it reduces the prediction variance of trees
- OOB error
- Variable Importance based on Permutation

R functions to know

 Function "randomForest" and "varImpPlot" from package "randomForest"