## Series 3

1. The following table shows the result of the decathlon at the olympic games in Atlanta at 1996. The data is in the dataframe zehnkampf.dat and the variables are:

| m100 | 100m-sprint | m400 | 400m-sprint | speer | javelin |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| weit | long jump | hurd | 110 m -hurdles | m1500 | 1500 m -sprint |
| kugel | shotput | disc | discus | punkte | total number of points |
| hoch | high jump (cm) | stab | pole vault (cm) |  |  |


| Athlet | m 100 | weit | kugel | hoch | m 400 | hurd | disc | stab | speer | m 1500 | punkte |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OBRIEN | 10.5 | 7.57 | 15.7 | 207 | 46.8 | 13.9 | 48.8 | 500 | 66.9 | 286 | 8824 |
| BUSEMANN | 10.6 | 8.07 | 13.6 | 204 | 48.3 | 13.5 | 45 | 480 | 66.9 | 271 | 8706 |
| DVORAK | 10.6 | 7.6 | 15.8 | 198 | 48.3 | 13.8 | 46.3 | 470 | 70.2 | 271 | 8664 |
| $\ldots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AFANASYEV | 11.4 | 6.74 | 13.4 | 198 | 50.8 | 14.8 | 43.1 | 0 | 55.1 | 281 | 6711 |

a) Make a biplot of the zehnkampf-data using the covariance matrix for determing the principal components. Compare it to the biplot using the correlation matrix instead. Which of the two plots seems more advisable? And why?
R-hint: Use the function biplot and for the PCA the function princomp. Check the help of princomp on how to use the correlation instead of the covariance matrix.
b) Take a closer look at the biplots. Answer the following questions and make a short comment about your decision.

- Which discipline has high correlation with the total number of points (i.e. punkte)?
- Which variable is displayed badly by the projection?
- State two disciplines with high positiv correlation.
- State two disciplines with high negativ correlation.
- State two disciplines which are uncorrelated.
c) Who is an average athlete? There several answers possible.

R-Hint: identify () - after clicking in the plot, right-click to stop identify and get the desired output.

Source: The data is from the web-site http://www.atlanta.olympic.org/ ("Official 1996 Olympic Web Site").
2. In this exercise we will look at eigenvalues and eigenvectors. We consider the data iris2.dat. Let $\underline{X}$ be the $p \times n$-matrix with the samples in its columns.
a) Restrict iris2.dat to the species Iris setosa (SPECIES=1) only. Furthermore, we only need length and width of the sepal leaves (SEP.L and SEP.W).
b) Make a scattor-plot. First center the data iris.dat, such that the orgin is at the middle.

R-Hint: scale()
c) Determine the covariance-matrix $\mathbf{S}$.
(R-Hint: $\operatorname{cov}())$
d) Since $\mathbf{S}$ is symmetric and positive semi-definite, we can decompose the matrix $\mathbf{S}$ according to the eigenvalue problem

$$
S=\mathbf{A} \mathbf{\Lambda} \mathbf{A}^{\top},
$$

where $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}=\operatorname{diag}\left[\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right]$ is a diagonal matrix, with $\lambda_{i} \geq 0$, and $\mathbf{A}$ is an orthogonal matrix, that means $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^{\top}=1$ and hence $\mathbf{A}^{-1}=\mathbf{A}^{\top}$.

The values $\lambda_{i}$ are called eigenvalues and the vectors in the columns of $\mathbf{A}$ are called eigenvectors. Find the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the matrix $\mathbf{S}$. Verify $\mathbf{A A}^{\top}=1$.
(R-Hint: eigen()):
e) A transformation of the data with $\mathbf{A}$, that means

$$
\underline{Z}=\mathbf{A}^{\top} \underline{X}
$$

yields transformed data having a covariance-matrix equal to the diagonal matrix $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$. The reason is

$$
\widehat{\operatorname{var}}(\underline{Z})=\widehat{\operatorname{var}}\left(\mathbf{A}^{\top} \underline{X}\right)=\mathbf{A}^{\top} \widehat{\operatorname{var}}(\underline{X}) \mathbf{A}=\mathbf{A}^{\top} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{A}=\boldsymbol{\Lambda} .
$$

Transform the data according to the mapping above. Make a scatter-plot for $\underline{Z}$. What do you get? What kind of mapping is $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{A}^{-1}=\mathbf{A}^{\top}$ respectively?
f) Plot the non-transformed data together with the following lines

$$
g_{1}: \quad \underline{z}_{1}=\mathbf{A}^{\top}\left[\begin{array}{c}
t \\
0
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { und } \quad g_{2}: \quad \underline{z}_{2}=\mathbf{A}^{\top}\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
t
\end{array}\right]
$$

with $t \in[-2,2]$.
g) Compare the previous results with the output of princomp (eigenvalues, eigenvectors, scores). Do they agree?
Preliminary discussion: 26.03.12.
Deadline: No hand-in.

