

# Parameter estimates and confidence intervals

Hani Nakhoul

22 March 2010

## 1 The regression model

$$\mathcal{Y} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{X}\beta, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_n)$$

( $\dim \mathcal{Y} = n$ ,  $\dim \beta = p$ )

- Least squares estimator:  $\hat{\beta} = \arg \min_{\beta} \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\beta\|^2$
- $\hat{\beta}$  minimizes sum of  $n$  ‘residuals’, gives ‘residual’ or ‘restricted maximum likelihood’ (REML)
- $\hat{\beta}$  is also the maximum likelihood (ML) estimator for  $\beta$
- Two estimators for  $\sigma^2$ :  $\hat{\sigma}_L^2 = \frac{\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\hat{\beta}\|^2}{n}$  (ML),  $\hat{\sigma}_R^2 = \frac{\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\hat{\beta}\|^2}{n-p}$  (REML)

## 2 The mixed effects model

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{B} = \mathbf{b}) &\sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{X}\beta + \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{b}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_n) \\ \mathcal{B} &\sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \Sigma_{\theta}) \end{aligned}$$

$\mathcal{Y}$  response vector ( $\dim n$ ),  $\mathcal{B}$  random-effects vector ( $\dim q$ ),  $\beta$  fixed-effects vector ( $\dim p$ ),  $\Sigma_{\theta} = \sigma_1^2 \Lambda_{\theta} \Lambda_{\theta}^T$  for  $q \times q$  matrix  $\Lambda_{\theta}$

### 2.1 ML estimation

Goal: given observation  $\mathbf{y}$ , minimize deviance

$$d(\theta, \beta, \sigma|\mathbf{y}) = -2 \log L(\theta, \beta, \sigma|\mathbf{y}) = n \log(2\pi\sigma^2) + \log(\|\mathbf{L}_{\theta}\|^2) + \frac{r_{\beta, \theta}^2}{\sigma^2}$$

1. Obtain conditioned estimators  $\hat{\beta}_{\theta} = \arg \min_{\beta} r_{\beta, \theta}^2$  and  $\hat{\sigma}_{\theta}^2 = r_{\theta}^2/n$  (where  $r_{\theta}^2 = \min_{\beta} r_{\beta, \theta}^2$ )
2. Profiled deviance  $\tilde{d}(\theta|\mathbf{y}) = d(\theta, \hat{\beta}_{\theta}, \hat{\sigma}_{\theta}^2)$  is a function of  $\theta$  alone; numerical optimization then gives the MLE  $\hat{\theta}$  of  $\theta$
3. Evaluate  $\tilde{d}$  at  $\hat{\theta}$  to obtain  $\hat{\beta}$  and  $\hat{\sigma}$

## 2.2 REML estimation

Goal: given observation  $\mathbf{y}$ , minimize REML criterion

$$d_R(\theta, \sigma|\mathbf{y}) = -2 \log \int_{\mathbb{R}^p} L(\theta, \beta, \sigma|\mathbf{y}) d\beta$$

1. Compute integral:

$$d_R(\theta, \sigma|\mathbf{y}) = (n - p) \log(2\pi\sigma^2) + 2 \log(\|\mathbf{L}_\theta\| \|\mathbf{R}_X\|) + \frac{r_\theta^2}{\sigma^2}$$

2. Obtain conditioned estimator:  $\hat{\sigma}_{R,\theta}^2 = r_\theta^2 / (n - p)$
3. Profiled REML criterion  $\tilde{d}_R(\theta|\mathbf{y}) = d_R(\theta, \hat{\sigma}_{R,\theta}^2)$  is a function of  $\theta$  alone; optimize to obtain  $\hat{\theta}_R = \arg \min_\theta \tilde{d}_R(\theta|\mathbf{y})$  and  $\hat{\sigma}_R^2 = \hat{\sigma}_{R,\hat{\theta}_R}^2$  (by custom  $\hat{\beta}_R = \hat{\beta}_{\hat{\theta}_R}$ )

## 2.3 ML vs. REML: which to choose?

- REML estimation is less biased than ML estimation, although it is not true in general that the REML variance estimator is unbiased
- The linear mixed-effects REML estimator takes the regression estimator as a special case
- ML estimation produces model-fit statistics (e.g. AIC, BIC) that can be used to compare models fitted to the same data
- ML estimators are required to find confidence intervals

## 3 Confidence intervals

1. Compute ML estimators of parameters to obtain globally optimal fit
2. Fix one parameter at a specific value, find best possible fit, and compute change in deviance from globally optimal fit
3. The change in deviance is the likelihood ratio test (LRT) statistic ( $d = -2 \log L$ ); take signed square root  $\zeta$  as value of  $\mathcal{Z} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$
4. Profiled zeta plot illustrates confidence intervals graphically; profiled pairs plot indicates dependence of parameters on each other

## 4 Hypothesis testing

1. Given null ( $H_0$ ) and alternative ( $H_A$ ) hypotheses about parameters, construct:
  - A reference model incorporating both  $H_0$  and  $H_A$
  - A nested (null hypothesis) model satisfying only  $H_0$
2. Take estimates for parameters in each model
3. Evaluate change in deviance (the LRT statistic):  $d_{nested} - d_{ref} = -2 \log \frac{L_{nested}}{L_{ref}} \sim \chi_{df}^2$  ( $df$  difference in number of parameters)
4. Refer to the  $\chi_{df}^2$  distribution to determine the significance of the choice of model