

Series 8

1. Logistic Regression for Binary Data

A car manufacturer instructed a market research company to analyze which families are going to buy a new car next year using a logistic regression model. The data stems from a random sample of 33 families from an agglomeration area. Assessed variables cover the yearly household income (in 1000 US \$) and the age of the oldest car in the family (in years). 12 months later, interviewers assessed which families had bought a new car in the meantime. The data is available in the file `car.dat` and can be read in with following command.

```
car <- read.table("http://stat.ethz.ch/Teaching/Datasets/car.dat",header=T)
```

- Perform a logistic regression. Report the regression equation.
- Estimate $\exp(\hat{\beta}_{income})$ and $\exp(\hat{\beta}_{age})$ and interpret the values.
- How large is the estimated probability that a family with a yearly household income of 50 000 US \$ and whose oldest car is 3 years old will buy a new car?
- Do the residual plots show any abnormalities?
- Is the variable `age` required in the model?
- Is there a non-negligible interaction between `income` and `age`?

2. Logistic Regression for Binomial Data

In this task we analyze an example concerning hypertension. First, we need to enter the data. This is done as follows:

```
> no.yes <- c("No", "Yes")
> smoking <- gl(2,1,7, no.yes)
> obesity <- gl(2,2,7, no.yes)
> snoring <- gl(2,4,7, no.yes)
> n.total <- c(60, 17, 8, 187, 85, 51, 23)
> n.hyper <- c(5, 2, 1, 35, 13, 15, 8)
```

Here, the function `gl` creates a factor variable with the given levels. The factors `smoking`, `obesity` and `snoring` have an obvious meaning, `n.total` is the number of observations and `n.hyper` is the number of people with hypertension in each group.

- In order to fit a binomial logistic regression model construct a response matrix with two columns containing the number of people with and without hypertension, respectively.
- Fit a binomial regression model to the data. Does this model fit well? Assess the goodness-of-fit via the residual deviance.
- Which variables significantly influence the occurrence of hypertension?
- Try to find a suitable model using likelihood-ratio tests.
- Compare the observed and fitted proportions for hypertension using the model you found in d). Additionally, calculate the expected and observed counts.

3. Poisson Regression

In an experiment one is interested in assessing the concentration of nematodes (a species of worms) in a certain liquid. Three samples of 20 μl each were generated and thinned with an equal amount of water. From each of the thinned probes the researchers generated 15 subsamples with a volume of 40 μl , 20 μl and 20 μl , respectively. The table shows the counted number of nematodes for each of the 45 subsamples.

Sample	volume	Number of nematodes in each subsample														
1	40 μl	31	28	33	38	28	32	39	27	28	39	21	39	45	37	41
2	20 μl	14	16	18	9	21	21	14	12	13	13	14	20	24	15	24
3	20 μl	18	13	19	14	15	16	14	19	25	16	16	18	9	10	9

- Propose a regression model for the dependent variable "number of nematodes" and the independent variable sample.
- Is there any difference among the three samples?
- Could one also use the log-volume instead of the three groups as explanatory variable? How would the corresponding model look like?
- Would $\lambda = c \cdot \text{vol}$ also be an appropriate model? Why? Hint: Is the coefficient of $\log(\text{vol})$ significantly different from 1?
- Calculate a model in which you fix the coefficient of $\log(\text{vol})$ to 1.

Hint: With the `offset` comand you can constrain the coefficient of a covariate to 1. E.g., when writing `offset(x1)` instead of x_1 in the model formula in R the coefficient of x_1 is fixed at 1 and not estimated.

Compare the different models.

4. Multinomial Logit Model

Do people choose a different investment strategy for their pension if they have the option to do it? The data set `pension.dta` can be read into R with the `read.dta` command from the library `foreign`. The response variable is `pctstck`. The link for the data set is

```
read.dta("http://fmwww.bc.edu/ec-p/data/wooldridge2k/pension.dta")
```

The data set contains observations of 226 subjects on the following variables.

<code>id</code>	Identification number of the person
<code>pyears</code>	Number of years in pension plan
<code>age</code>	Age in years
<code>choice</code>	Freedom to choose investment strategy 1=yes, 0=no
<code>prftshr</code>	Profit sharing plan 1=yes, 0=no
<code>female</code>	Sex 1=female 0=male
<code>married</code>	married 1=yes, 0=no
<code>black</code>	Ethnic background 1=African-American 0= not African-American
<code>educ</code>	Years of education in school
<code>finc25</code>	Income \leq 25,000\$
<code>...</code>	<code>...</code>
<code>wealth89</code>	Net assets 1989 in 1000 \$
<code>pctstck</code>	Investment strategy 0=mainly obligations, 50= mixed, 100=mainly stocks

- Look at the data and make sure all the variables have the correct data type. What is the relationship between `choice` and `pctstck` neglecting the effect of the rest of the variables?
- Construct a new income variable with only three levels: Levels: up to 25,000, 25,001 up to 50,000, over 50,000. Is there a relationship between income and investment strategy?
- Fit a nominal logit model with `pctstck=50` as reference category using the predictors `choice`, `age`, `educ`, `female`, `married`, `black`, `inc`, `wealth89`, and `prftshr`.
- Is the variable `choice` significant? Interpret the coefficient of `choice` using odds.

- e) Use the model including **choice** to answer the following questions: How large is the probability for each of the three investment strategies to be chosen for a 60-year-old white male, single, with 13.5 years of school education, an income of over 50,000 \$, net assets of 200,000 \$ and a profit-sharing plan when he has the freedom to choose his investment strategy? How large is the probability when he does not have the freedom to choose his investment strategy?

Preliminary discussion: Monday, December 14.

Deadline: —.

Question hour: January 11, 2016, 3 – 4 pm in HG G 26.3 & January 22, 2016, 3 – 4 pm in HG F 26.3