

Lukas Meier, Seminar für Statistik

Different Error Rates and Power

- Remember the different error rates of a statistical test:
 - **Type I** error: **Reject** *H*₀ even though it is **true**.
 - **Type II** error: **Fail** to reject H_0 even though H_A holds.
- The probability of a type I error is controlled by the significance level α.
- Type II error: The probability of a type II error is typically denoted by β (it is not being controlled).
- We need to **assume** a certain setting of the parameters under H_A to be able to calculate β .
- Power of a statistical test is defined as

 $P[reject H_0 \text{ given that } H_A \text{ holds}] = 1 - \beta.$

- We are interested in power when planning an experiment.
- Why? We believe that H₀ is **not** true and we want to get a "significant result" out of our experimental data.
- Power tells us the probability to get a significant result if *H_A* is actually true.
- Calculating power is like a "thought experiment", we do not need data, but a precise specification of the parameter setting under H_A that we believe in .

- Very low power means: chances are high that you will not get a significant result, even though H_A holds (that is H₀ is not true).
- In other words: you wasted time and money with your experiment as it was a priori clear that (with high probability) the result will not be significant.
- If power is 0.2 it means that only in 1 out of 5 cases the result will be significant.
- Ideally, power should be of the order of $\geq 80\%$.

- Power depends on
- design of the experiment (balanced, unbalanced, ...)
 - significance level α (typically 0.01, 0.05)
- \mathfrak{S} parameter setting under the alternative (incl. error variance σ^2)
- $\kappa = sample size n$
- General rule: the more observations you have, the larger power.
- Typically we choose n such that power is at the appropriate level.
- If n is smaller, the experiment does **not** satisfy our needs, if n is larger, it is a waste of resources.

Power: Calculations

- For easy situations (like the two-sample *t*-test, one-way ANOVA, ...) formulas can be derived using new terminology like a so called non-central *F*-distribution.
- There are some special functions, like power.t.test (comes with R) or the package pwr.
- For more complex designs, **simulations** have to be used.
- This used to be a problem in the old days but is easy nowadays.

Example: One-Way ANOVA (Roth, 2013)

- Say we want to compare g = 5 different treatments labelled A, B, C, D, E.
- Previous experience tells us that $\sigma^2 = 7.5$ is a realistic value of the error variance.
- We assume that at least two groups differ by 6 units.
- If we use the model

$$Y_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \epsilon_{ij}$$

with the sum-to-zero constraint it means that we (e.g.) have

$$\alpha_1 = -3, \alpha_2 = 3, \alpha_3 = 0, \alpha_4 = 0, \alpha_5 = 0.$$

See R-File for simulation.





Visualization of a Simulated Data-Set



Power Analysis: Comments

- Unfortunately, sample size is often determined by your resources (money).
- Power analysis then gives you an answer whether it is actually worth doing the study or whether it is just a waste of time.
- The difficult part is defining the parameters under the alternative, especially the error variance.
- The nice side-effect of doing a power analysis is that you actually fit your model to (simulated) data and immediately see whether the analysis "works".