## Fractional Factorials

- Too many runs for many factors
- Ignore some high-order interactions and run only a fraction of all possible runs
- How to choose the runs?


(1)
a
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## Optimal coverage



| run | A | B | C | AB | AC | BC | ABC |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(1)$ | - | - | - | + | + | + | - |
| ab | + | + | - | + | - | - | - |
| ac | + | - | + | - | + | - | - |
| bc | - | + | + | - | - | + | - |
|  | $\hat{C}=-\hat{A B}, \hat{B}=-\hat{A C}, \hat{A}=-\hat{B C}, \hat{I}=-\hat{A B C}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Leaf spring experiment

- An experiment to improve a heat treatment process on truck leaf springs.
- The heat treatment consists of heating in a high temperature oven, processing by a forming machine, and cooling in an oil bath.
- The response, the height of an unloaded spring, should be 8.0.
- half fraction of a $2^{5}$ design is used to study 5 factors.


## Factors and levels

|  |  | Level |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Factor |  | - | + |
| A | heat temperature $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$ | 1840 | 1880 |
| B | heating time $($ seconds $)$ | 23 | 25 |
| C | transfer time (seconds) | 10 | 12 |
| D | hold down time (seconds) | 2 | 3 |
| E | oil temperature $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$ | $130-150$ | $150-170$ |

## Why using fractional factorials?

- $2^{5}$ design has 32 runs to estimate the overall mean and

| Main <br> Effects | 2-Factor | Interactions |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 10 | 10 | 5 | 1 |

- 4-factor, 5-factor and even 3-factor interactions are not likely to be important. There are $10+5+1=16$ such effects, half of the total runs!
- use a half-replicate. What price is to pay?


## Design matrix

| Treatment | A | B | C | D | E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(1)$ | - | - | - | - | - |
| ab | + | + | - | - | - |
| ac | + | - | + | - | - |
| bc | - | + | + | - | - |
| ad | + | - | - | + | - |
| bd | - | + | - | + | - |
| cd | - | - | + | + | - |
| abcd | + | + | + | + | - |
| e | - | - | - | - | + |
| abe | + | + | - | - | + |
| ace | + | - | + | - | + |
| bce | - | + | + | - | + |
| ade | + | - | - | + | + |
| bde | - | + | - | + | + |
| cde | - | - | + | + | + |
| abcde | + | + | + | + | + |

$I=A B C D$ is the defining relation
$D=A B C: \mathrm{D}$ is aliased with the interaction ABC .

## Aliasing structure

The complete aliasing structure is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
I & =A B C D \\
A & =B C D \\
B & =A C D \\
C & =A B D \\
D & =A B C \\
E & =A B C D E \\
A B & =C D \\
A C & =B D
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
A D & =B C \\
A E & =B C D E \\
B E & =A C D E \\
C E & =A B D E \\
D E & =A B C E \\
A B E & =C D E \\
A C E & =B D E \\
A D E & =B C E
\end{aligned}
$$

## Construction method I

To construct a $2^{k-1}$ design choose one block of a $2^{k}$ design divided into two blocks.
Ex: $k=4$, confound the $A B C D$ interaction with blocks and take the principal block as half replicate.

| $(1)$ |
| :---: |
| $a b$ |
| $a c$ |
| $b c$ |
| $a d$ |
| $b d$ |
| $c d$ |
| cd |
| abd |

## $2^{4-2}$ Design

Choose two confounding interactions: $A B$ und $C D$. $A B C D$ is also confounded with blocks.

| $(1)$ |
| :---: |
| $\ldots \mathrm{ab}$ |
| $\ldots \mathrm{cd}$ |
| $\ldots \mathrm{abcd}$ |

Aliasing structure:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I=A B, C D, A B C D \\
& A=B, A C D, B C D \\
& C=A B C, D, A B D \\
& A C=B C, A D, B D
\end{aligned}
$$

## Construction method II

To construct a $2^{4-1}$ design start with a $2^{3}$ design and identify the fourth factor with the $A B C$ interaction.

| Treatment | I | A | B | AB | C | AC | BC | $\mathrm{ABC}=\mathrm{D}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(1)$ | + | - | - | + | - | + | + | - | $(1)$ |
| a | + | + | - | - | - | - | + | + | ad |
| b | + | - | + | - | - | + | - | + | bd |
| ab | + | + | + | + | - | - | - | - | ab |
| c | + | - | - | + | + | - | - | + | cd |
| ac | + | + | - | - | + | + | - | - | ac |
| bc | + | - | + | - | + | - | + | - | bc |
| abc | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | abcd |

## Resolution of a design

- Resolution $=$ length of shortest word among the $2^{\prime}-1$ words used in the defining relations.
- In any resolution III design, main effects are not confounded with other main effects.
- In any resolution IV design, main effects are not aliased with any other main effect or 2-factor interactions.
- In any resolution V design, the main effects are not aliased with any other main effect, 2-factor or 3-factor interactions. The two-factor interactions are not aliased with any other 2-factor interaction.

