Series 3

1. The article "Characterization of Highway Runoff in Austin, Texas, Area" gave a scatter plot of x=rainfall volume and y=runoff volume for a particular location. The values are:

х	5	12	14	17	23	30	40	47	55	67	72	81	96	112	127
у	4	10	13	15	15	25	27	46	38	46	53	70	82	99	100

- a) Produce a scatterplot of runoff volume vs. rainfall volume. Do you think a simple linear regression is plausible here. Also try to give a guesstimate of R^2 .
- b) Now fit a simple linear regression model. Use it for predicting the runoff volume when the rainfall volume takes the value 50. Also compute the 95% prediction interval for this case.
- c) How much of the observed variation in runoff volume can be attributed to the simple linear association between runoff and rainfall volume?
- d) Is there a significant linear association between runoff and rainfall volume? Moreover, use a statistical test to determine whether there is a 1:1 relation between runoff and rainfall. If no, why do you think it is not a 1:1 relation?
- e) Produce a plot of the residuals vs. fitted values and a normal plot. If you inspect it very carefully, you can notice that some of the assumptions for simple linear regression are violated. Explicitly mention these.
- f) Runoff and rainfall volume are both variables which can only take positive values. Both are skewed to the right, though only slightly so here. Taking logs on both variables could thus be beneficial. Fit a simple linear regression model for the transformed variables and compare the results with the ones from the initial model, i.e. repeat all the steps a)-e). The log-transformed model fits better. Why?
- g) There is another important advantage of the model in f). It's easy to see what it is if you plot the 95% prediction interval as a prediction band for the two models, both on the original scale.
- 2. (Continuation of exercise 2 on sheet 2) Various data on cars and their fuel consumption is stored in the data set my.mtcars.rda. In this exercise, we will continue to look at the connection between the engine power (variable hp) and fuel consumption (variable 1.100km).
 - a) Perform a log transformation of both variables and do a regression analysis (fit and plot the model, perform residual diagnostics). How does it compare with the original model without the transformation?
 - b) The linear regression model for the transformed variables is

 $\log(1.100 \text{km}) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \log(\text{hp}) + \epsilon$

Express this as a relation between the original variables 1.100km and hp.

- c) Plot the model curve of the log-transformed model in a scatter plot of the original model.
- 3. The file gas.dat contains the gas consumption (in kWh) and the differences of temperature (in °C) inside and outside of 15 houses which are heated with gas. The measures were collected over a long time span and then averaged.

- a) Read in the data from the internet using read.table("http://stat.ethz.ch/Teaching/Datasets/gas.dat", header = TRUE). Hint: Alternatively the data can be downloaded from the web using a browser and read in from the local drive using also read.table(). This could be necessary if you get an error reading it directly (e.g. caused by a stringent firewall). Illustrate the data graphically. What does the relation look like?
- b) Compute an ordinary linear regression mod1 of the consumption versus the temperature difference. Compare the output when calling mod1 and summary(mod1).
- c) Perform a diagnosis of the model. Does the residual analysis look satisfying? Hints: plot(fitted(mod1),resid(mod1)), abline(h=0), plot(gas\$temp,resid(mod1)), abline(h=0) and qqnorm(resid(mod1)), qqline(resid(mod1)). Or plot(mod1), which generates directly the above plots and an additional one. If necessary, try to find an alternative model.
- d) What kind of consumption do you expect when the difference in temperature is 14C? Give also the confidence interval for the expected consumption. Hint: predict().

Preliminary discussion: Monday, October 28.

Deadline: Monday, October 21.