Series 6

1. Collinearity and variable selection: In a study about infection risk controlling in US hospitals a random sample from 113 hospitals contains the following variables:

```
randomly assigned ID of the hospital
            average duration of hospital stay (in days)
length
            average age of patients (in years)
age
inf
            average infection risk (in percent)
cult
            number of bacteorological tests per asymptomatic patient x 100
            number of X-rays per asymptomatic patient x 100
xray
beds
            number of beds
            university hospital 1=yes 2=no
school
region
            geographical region 1=NE 2=N 3=S 4=W
pat
            average number of patients a day
            number of full-employed, trained nurses
nurs
            percentage of available services from a fixed list of 35 references
serv
```

Read in the data from: http://stat.ethz.ch/Teaching/Datasets/senic.dat. Since some observations span more than a single line, you have to use scan() to read the file into R:

```
senic <-scan("http://stat.ethz.ch/Teaching/Datasets/senic.dat",
  what=list(id=0,length=0,age=0,inf=0,cult=0,xray=0,beds=0,school=0,
  region=0,pat=0,nurs=0,serv=0))}</pre>
```

Using senic <- data.frame(senic); senic <- senic[,-1] you turn the object into a user friendly data frame structure. Turn the variables school and region into so-called factor variables.

Using the variables age, inf, region, beds, pat, nurs as predictors and length as response variable, perform a linear regression analysis and find an optimal model by following the next instructions:

- a) Check the correlations between these variables. Which of them are problematic and why? Is there an intuitive explanation of this problem? Combine some of the predictors to improve the situation.
- **b)** Perform the necessary transformations on the predictors and response.
- c) Fit a linear regression using the transformed variables. Then, use this model as your starting equation to do backward elimination (using p-values).
- d) Perform a backward elimination using the AIC criterion. Use the function step(). Check the final model with the usual diagnostic plots.
- e) Now perform a forward selection using the AIC criterion. Thus, start with the empty model. Use the same function as before. Check also the diagnostic plots and comment on the differences with c) and d).
- f) Optional: Perform a stepwise selection. Start with the full model as well as with empty model and compare the results. Check the help file of step() on how to perform a stepwise selection.

2. Cross validation: The goal of this exercise is to make you acquainted with the cross-validation technique. Use the data set data(houseprices) from the package library(DAAG).

> head(houseprices)

	area	bedrooms	sale.price
9	694	4	192.0
10	905	4	215.0
11	802	4	215.0
12	1366	4	274.0
13	716	4	112.7
14	963	4	185.0

- a) Perform a leave-one-out cross validation for the model containing both predictors as main effects: sale.price \sim area + bedrooms
 - Is there a better model to predict the sale price? What other models are possible anyway? R hint: Use the R-function CVlm() from library(DAAG).
- b) Optional exercise for advanced users: Instead of using the function CVlm(data, formula, fold.number, ...) you could also perform the cross validation "by hand" using a for-loop.

Preliminary discussion: Monday, November 26.

Deadline: Monday, December 03.