#### Applied Statistical Regression HS 2010 – Week 10



Marcel Dettling

Institute for Data Analysis and Process Design

**Zurich University of Applied Sciences** 

marcel.dettling@zhaw.ch

http://stat.ethz.ch/~dettling

ETH Zürich, November 29, 2010



Zurich University of Applied Scien

# Logistic Regression Model

- $Y_i \in \{0,1\}$  has a Bernoulli distribution.
- The parameter of this distribution is  $p_i$ , the success rate

#### Now please note that:

$$p_i = P(Y_i = 1) = E[Y_i]$$

→ the most powerful notion of the logistic regression model is to see it as a model where we try to find a relation between the expected value of  $Y_i$  and the predictors!

**Important**:  $p_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + ... + \beta x_{ip}$  is no good here!

## Example

35 30 age 25 20 2.8 2.9 3.0 3.1

**Survival in Premature Birth** 

#### Applied Statistical Regression HS 2010 – Week 10

## Inference with GLMs

There are three tests that can be done:

- Goodness-of-fit test
  - based on comparing against the saturated model
  - not suitable for non-grouped, binary data
- Comparing two nested models
  - likelihood ratio test leads to deviance differences
  - test statistics has an asymptotic Chi-Square distribution
- Global test
  - comparing versus an empty model with only an intercept
  - this is a nested model, take the null deviance



## zh aw

## **Null Deviance**

#### Smallest model:

- The smallest model is without predictors, only with intercept
- Fitted values will all be equal to  $\hat{\pi}_0$
- Our best fit (F) and the smallest model (0) are nested

#### A global test:

$$2(l^{(0)} - l^{(F)}) = D(y, \hat{p}^{(F)}) - D(y, \hat{p}^{(0)})$$

#### Example:





## Model Diagnostics

Diagnostics are:

- as important with logistic regression as they are with multiple linear regression models
- again based on differences between fitted & observed values
- → we now have to take into account that the variances are not equal for the different instances.
- $\rightarrow$  we have to come up with novel types of residuals:

#### Pearson and Deviance residuals



Zurich University

## **Pearson Residuals**

Take the difference between observed an fitted value and divide by an estimate of the standard deviation:

$$R_i = \frac{y_i - \hat{p}_i}{\sqrt{\hat{p}_i(1 - \hat{p}_i)}}$$

- →  $R_i^2$  is the contribution of the *i*th observation to the Pearson statistic for model comparison.
- → It is important to note that Pearson residuals exceeding a value of two in absolute value warrant a closer look



**Zurich University** 

## **Deviance Residuals**

Take the contribution of the *i*th observation to the log-likelihood, i.e. the chi-square statistic for model comparison.

$$d_i = -2 \cdot \left( y_i \log\left(\hat{p}_i\right) + (1 - y_i) \log\left(1 - \hat{p}_i\right) \right)$$

For obtaining a well interpretable residual, we take the square root and the sign of the difference between true and fitted value:

$$D_i = sign(y_i - \hat{p}_i) \cdot \sqrt{d_i}$$

→ It is important to note that Pearson residuals exceeding a value of two in absolute value warrant a closer look



**Zurich University** 

## Tukey-Anscombe Plot

Remark: sometimes studentized residuals are used!





**Zurich University** 

## Tukey-Anscombe Plot

The Tukey-Anscombe plots in R are not perfect. Better use:

```
xx <- predict(fit, type="response")
yy <- residuals(fit, type="pearson")
scatter.smooth(xx, yy, family="gaussian", pch=20)
abline(h=0, lty=3)</pre>
```

#### **Reasons:**

- using a non-robust smoother is a must
- different types of residuals can be used
- on the x-axis: probs or linear predictor

## zh aw

Zurich University of Applied Sciences

## More Diagnostics



Leverage glm(survival ~ l(log10(weight)) + age)



## **Binomial Regression Models**

Concentration in log of mg/l	Number of insects n_i	Number of killed insects y_i
0.96	50	6
1.33	48	16
1.63	46	24
2.04	49	42
2.32	50	44

 $\rightarrow$  for the number of killed insects, we have  $Y_i \sim Bin(n_i, p_i)$ 

- $\rightarrow$  we are mainly interested in the proportion of insects surviving
- → these are grouped data: there is more than 1 observation for a given predictor setting

#### Applied Statistical Regression HS 2010 – Week 10



## Model and Estimation

The goal is to find a relation:

$$p_i = P(Y_i = 1 | x_1, ..., x_p) \sim \eta_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + ... + \beta_p x_{ip}$$

We will again use the logit link function such that  $\eta_i = g(p_i)$ 

$$\log\left(\frac{p_i}{1-p_i}\right) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \dots + \beta_p x_{ip}$$

Here,  $p_i$  is the expected value  $E[Y_i / n_i]$ , and thus, also this model here fits within the GLM framework. The log-likelihood is:

$$l(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \left[ \log \binom{n_i}{y_i} + n_i y_i \log(p_i) + n_i (1 - y_i) \log(1 - p_i) \right]$$

Marcel Dettling, Zurich University of Applied Sciences

## zh aw

Zurich University of Applied Sciences

## Fitting with R

We need to generate a two-column matrix where the first contains the "successes" and the second contains the "failures"

> killsurv			
	killed	surviv	
[1,]	6	44	
[2,]	16	32	
[3,]	24	22	
[4,]	42	7	
[5,]	44	6	

> fit <- glm(killsurv~conc, family="binomial")</pre>

#### Applied Statistical Regression HS 2010 – Week 10

## Summary Output

The result for the insecticide example is:

```
> summary(glm(killsurv ~ conc, family = "binomial")
```

```
Coefficients:

Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)

(Intercept) -4.8923 0.6426 -7.613 2.67e-14 ***

conc 3.1088 0.3879 8.015 1.11e-15 ***

----

Null deviance: 96.6881 on 4 degrees of freedom

Residual deviance: 1.4542 on 3 degrees of freedom

AIC: 24.675
```





**Zurich University** 

## **Proportion of Killed Insects**



**Insecticide: Proportion of Killed Insects** 

#### Applied Statistical Regression HS 2010 – Week 10

## Inference with GLMs

There are three tests that can be done:

#### • Goodness-of-fit test

- based on comparing against the saturated model
- not suitable for non-grouped, binary data
- Comparing two nested models
  - likelihood ratio test leads to deviance differences
  - test statistics has an asymptotic Chi-Square distribution
- Global test
  - comparing versus an empty model with only an intercept
  - this is a nested model, take the null deviance





**Zurich University** 

## Goodness-of-Fit Test

#### → the residual deviance will be our goodness-of-fit measure!

Paradigm: take twice the difference between the log-likelihood for our current model and the saturated one, which fits the proportions perfectly, i.e.  $\hat{p}_i = y_i / n_i$ 

$$D(y, \hat{p}) = 2\sum_{i=1}^{k} \left[ y_i \log\left(\frac{y_i}{\hat{y}_i}\right) + (n_i - y_i) \log\left(\frac{(n_i - y_i)}{(n_i - \hat{y}_i)}\right) \right]$$

Because the saturated model fits as well as any model can fit, the deviance measures how close our model comes to perfection.



**Zurich University** 

## **Evaluation of the Test**

#### **Asymptotics:**

If  $Y_i$  is truly binomial and the  $n_i$  are large, the deviance is approximately  $\chi^2$  distributed. The degrees of freedom is:

k - (# of predictors) - 1

> pchisq(deviance(fit), df.residual(fit), lower=FALSE)
[1] 0.69287

#### Quick and dirty:

*Deviance*  $\gg df$  : → model is not worth much. More exactly: check  $df \pm 2\sqrt{df}$ 

 $\rightarrow$  only apply this test if at least all  $n_i \ge 5$ 

# Overdispersion

What if  $Deviance \gg df$  ???

#### 1) Check the structural form of the model

- model diagnostics
- predictor transformations, interactions, ...

## 2) Outliers

- should be apparent from the diagnostic plots
- **3)** IID assumption for  $p_i$  within a group
  - unrecorded predictors or inhomogeneous population
  - subjects influence other subjects under study





Zurich University

## Overdispersion: a Remedy

We can deal with overdispersion by estimating:

$$\hat{\phi} = \frac{X^2}{n-p} = \frac{1}{n-p} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(y_i - n_i \hat{p}_i)^2}{n_i \hat{p}_i (1-\hat{p}_i)}$$

This is the sum of squared Pearson residuals divided with the df

#### Implications:

- regression coefficients remain unchanged
- standard errors will be different: inference!
- need to use an F-test for comparing nested models



## **Results when Correcting Overdispersion**

- > phi <- sum(resid(fit)^2)/df.residual(fit)</pre>
- > phi

\_ \_ \_

- [1] 0.4847485
- > summary(fit, dispersion=phi)

Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)

(Intercept) -4.8923 0.4474 -10.94 <2e-16 \*\*\* conc 3.1088 0.2701 11.51 <2e-16 \*\*\*

(Dispersion parameter taken to be 0.4847485)

Null deviance: 96.6881 on 4 degrees of freedom Residual deviance: 1.4542 on 3 degrees of freedom AIC: 24.675

• Responses need to be counts

**Poisson-Regression** 

When to apply?

- for bounded counts, the binomial model can be useful
- for large numbers the normal approximation can serve
- The use of Poisson regression is a must if:
  - unknown population size and small counts
  - when the size of the population is large and hard to come by, and the probability of "success"/ the counts are small.

#### Methods:

#### Very similar to Binomial regression!